UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,959	09/12/2003	Donald Fedyk	120-142	8403
34845	7590 08/29/2007		EXAMINER	
125 NAGOG F	S & MANARAS LLP PARK		NALVEN, ANDREW L	
ACTON, MA 01720			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/661,959	FEDYK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Andrew L. Nalven	2134	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🗵 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) 💢 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) . will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13.

☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTQL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment changes the scope of independent claims 1, 6, and 10 and thus would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant has argued that Hoke and Hama fail to teach "receiving at the ingress point of the backbone, a packet including group security association data associated with the group of stations." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Hoke teaches receiving at the ingress point of the backbone, group security association data associated with the group of stations (Hoke, column 7 lines 46-57) by teaching the receiving of the packet addressed to the VPN unit because the VPN unit represents the VPN group and is configured to send and receiving data to and from VPN endstations (Hoke, column 7 lines 27-45)

Applicant further argues that Hoke and Hama fail to teach transforming at the ingress point of the backbone, the packet according to the group security association. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Hoke teaches the transformation according to the group security association (Hoke, column 7 lines 46-53, column 9 lines 18-34, column 9 lines 60-67) by teaching the VPN unit transforming the packet according the predefined VPN encryption/security rules associated with the destination VPN address. Hoke further teaches the forwarding of the transformed packet over the backbone using the group identifier as a backbone address (Hoke, column 7 lines 46-58 and column 9 lines 5-45) by teaching the forwarding according to the same association. While Hoke does not specifically teach a group identifier contained in the packet, the limitation is not novel because it is taught by Hama (Hama, column 10 lines 25-53). Further, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine the two references because it could simply the VPN procedures by removing the need for a lookup where matching of a source and destination is necessary and because it would allow terminals belonging to the same VLAN to communicate with each other regardless of where they are installed (Hama, column 2 lines 4-20).

KAMBIZ ZAND KAMBIZ ZAND SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER